DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 120 137 SP 009 909

AUTHOR TITLE

Smyth, John P.

Modifying Activities for Title IX.

PUB DATE

Mar 76

NOTE

13p.; Paper presented at Southern District American

Alliance for Health, Physical Education and Recreation Convention (March 18-21, 1976); Not

available in hard copy due to marginal reproducbility

of original document

EDRS PRICE DESCRIPTORS

MF-\$0.83 Plus Postage. HC Not Available from EDRS.

*Athletic Programs; Athletics; *Coeducation;

Intramural Athletic Programs; *Physical Development;
*Physical Education; Play; Sex Discrimination; Skill

Development: *Womens Athletics

IDENTIFIERS

*Title TX

ABSTRACT

The question of how to modify athletics programs according to Title IX specifications can be resolved by examping the basic reasons why play and sport are necessary. These reasons range from relaxation and catharsis to learning and competence seeking. Specific programs, then, should be tailored to fulfilling this braod range of needs, and organized in a logical progression that provides variety and mastery. Co-recreational activities are not new, and there are many sports which can be easily performed by both girls and boys, a lways taking into consideration interests and physical capacities. Intramurals administrators who involve students in decisions about co-ed athletics will be able to devise programs that are both acceptable in legal terms and satisfactory to students. (A bibliography and outline of sports modified for co-ed purposes are included.) (CD)

* Documents acquired by ERIC include many informal unpublished *
* materials not available from other sources. ERIC makes every effort *
* to obtain the best copy available. Nevertheless, items of marginal *
* reproducibility are often encountered and this affects the quality *
* of the microfiche and hardcopy reproductions ERIC makes available *
* via the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). EDRS is not *
* responsible for the quality of the original document. Reproductions *
* supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made from the original. *

MODIFYING ACTIVITIES FOR TITLE IX

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGINATION OF THE PERSON OF

Dr. John P. Smyth
Intramural Director
Associate Professor of Physical Education
The Citadel

Title IX has been the center of much furor, fear, and fretting. Not since "JAWS" have so many people anticipated swift and sudden destruction. Perhaps, the next movie marquee will announce "LAWS": The Story of Title IX, A Devastating Monster Out of Washington, D. C. that Gobbles Up Men's Athletic Programs! But, in intramural and recreational programming co-educational or co-recreational activities have been scheduled and will continue to be with even greater regularity, thus the interest in the modification of activities for co-rec participation.

HOW TO MODIFY? - WHY MODIFY? - WHY PLAY?

The question of "how" to modify activities is for the most part answered by the factors precipitating the concern. That is to say, if one knows "why" modification is desirable or necessary, then the details of "how" to modify take shape rather quickly. Some would suggest, as well, that the question "why play?" must also be asked to develop programming consistent with stated goals and objectives.

In his book $\underline{\text{Why}}$ $\underline{\text{People}}$ $\underline{\text{Play}}$, M. J. Ellis lists the following theories of play:

Classical 1. Surplus Energy 1. energy surplus to survival

Theories 2. Instinct

2. inheritance of unlearned capacities

3. Preparation 3. readying for later life . 4. Recapitula-4. follows the development tion of the species 5. Relaxation 5. recuperatory, non-work responses Recent 6. Generalization 6. repeat rewarding work experiences Theories 7. Compensation 7. satisfy needs unsatiated by work 8. Catharsis 8. express emotions in a harmless manner 9. Psychoanalysis 9. role playing, simulating experiences in other settings 10. Developmental 10. child's intellectual growth patterns ll. Learning 11. consequence of laws of learning Recent 12. Arousal seek-12. seeking stimulation and ing increased interest level Theories

The answer to "why play?" should for the most part answer
"what to play". If the modification significantly changes the
activities or environments to the extent that needs and interests are no longer served, then the modification is self-defeating.
The program administration can promote effective programming
with an accurate appraisal of the need for play and the corresponding selection and provisions of activities which fulfill
those needs. The literature contains many such lists of criteria
for the selection of activities. The following list of principles from Bookwalter and Vanderzwaag appears to be quite inclusive and valid:

13. seeking accomplishments

 $(4:46-\bar{1}11)$

leading to acceptance cycle

1. Validity contributes to objectives

13. Competence/

Effectance

2.	Totality	compatible and inclusive
3.	Relativity	mutually reinforcing
4.	Acceptability	palatable to the participant
5.	Continuity	provides for skill progression
6.	Desirability	potential or latent interest
7.	Utility	carry-over value
8.	According to Capacity	age, sex and physical capacity
9.	Intensity	provision for competence levels
10.	Social Adaptability	provide.leadership/followship
11.	Feasibility	time, facilities, administrative competence and geographical differences (2:217-18)

Likewise, criteria for organizing activities are considered to gain the optimal benefit from their inclusion in the program. The following list of criteria also comes from Bookwalter and VanderZwaag. (2:218)

1.	Progression	order of increasing vigor, complicity and duration
2.	Variety	kind and purpose vary with the participants development
3.	Practice for Mastery	conditioning and skill competencies are considered
Ц.	Seasonality	fall, winter, spring, and summer, customs and opportunities
5.	Feasibility	individual differences, supervision, facilities, time, and weather
6.	Unity	related and integrated activities

With the knowledge of the listed criteria and an experienced-referenced theory of play, the programmer can select activities or modified activities and administer them according to rational and philosophically consistent processes.



Traditionally, programs of activities are listed in professional texts to suggest an appropriate intramural program for boys and for girls. A comparision of such lists from a pre-World War II organization and administration text revealed an 84% overlapping of activities.

When would the following quote from an intercollegiate survey be dated?

"By centering attention on the developmental needs of students rather than on winning teams and contests, intramural sports programs are tending more and more to encourage men and women to play together in sports adapted to such participation. Although there are still several colleges at which there is said to be no formal encouragement given to mixed participation, the average number of sports thus engaged in is four per campus. At one institution men and women compete in as many as eleven sports. Our survey revealed that tennis, badminton, golf, ping-pong, and volleyball are very popular as mixed sports. On a small number of campuses, men and women also participate together in baseball, riding, swimming, fencing, shuffleboard, soccer, basketball, hockey, deck tennis, paddle tennis, organized hiking, handball, and various winter sports." (5:277)

This survey was taken by H. C. Hand in 1938. (5:277)

These 1938 references and others of vintage, lead me to the conclusions that: co-recreational activities are not new, traditional male and female activites are not mutually exclusive and most activities can be programmed for co-recreational enjoyment.

Some programmers would speak for safety and clamor for classification for physical activity. This practice, too, has a history in intramurals and physical education. Perhaps the male chauvinists would welcome a return of Pignet's formula designed to determine robusticity. Measures of height, weight, and chest circumference (taken while at rest) were



used to determine the participant's constitution for physical activity. (9:334) More contemporary groupings could also be considered.

- 1. grade level
- 2. anthropometric measures
- 3. chronological measures
- 4. social ability
- 5. interests
- 6. achievement
- 7. physical capacity
- 8. temperament
- 9. learning characteristics groupings (6:256)

In some ungraded school situations, diagnostic tests have been administered in seven major areas. The tests used for activity classification are as follows:

- 1. locomotor skills
- 2. eye-foot skills
- 3. eye-hand skills
- 4. body co-ordination skills
- 5. balance
- 6. sensory motor skills
- 7. rhythm and dance skills (6:257)

It should be growing increasingly clear that activities should not be arbitrarily selected or administered just to comply with the letter of the Title IX mandate. The spirit of the law and conscience of professionalism should blend the needs of the students into co-recreational participation. Other considerations may well include:



- 1. cc-rec activities as one phase of a total program
- 2. co-rec activities as voluntary
- 3. co-rec activities available at varying times
- 4. co-rec activities as instruction and recreation
- 5. co-rec activities under student leadership

In his article "Collegiate Intramurals and Title IX", R. T. "Rookie" Dickinson reminds one of the challenge in Robert Frost's "The Road Not Taken" . . . - with the implication that the road less traveled by is that which is shared by male and female. (3:13) It is the recollection of this author that many of the fondest memories of youth for male and female may well have been the taking of a road less traveled by, and it is likewise hoped that co-recreational intramurals can share that cherished place in the memories of our youth.

A RULE IS A RULE

Hoyle published his first game book in 1742. It was entitled:

"A short treatise on the Game of Whist, containing the Laws of the Game; and also some Rules whereby a Beginner may, with due attention to them attain to the Play it well. Calculations for those who Bet the Odds on any point of the score of the Game then playing and depending. Cases stated, to shew what may be effected by a good player in Critical Parts of the Game. References to cases viz at the End of the Rule you are directed how to find them. Calculations directing with moral Certainty, how to play well any Hand or Game, by Shewing the Chances of your Partner's having 1, 2, or 3 certain cards. With Variety of Cases added in the Appendix. Printed by John Watts for the Author, London, 1742."

This example was selected to illustrate how technical and self-serving rules and rule books tend to become. Intramural administrators must seek the freedom to modify offi-



cial rules to gain optimal programming. The program director and student leadership are the resident experts and have the responsibility to change rules to make the activities conform to program needs and capabilities. This has been done in years past with games of low organization; for example, most children remember playing Post Office, but how about Pony Express? That's Post Office with a little more horsin' around. Or in Alaska, one might recall Dog Sled; that's Post Office only with lots of mush. Your favorite team or individual sport is subject to modification of time, number of players, size and/or shape of equipment, size and/or nature of the playing area, and the playing regulations proper.

The following is but a partial listing of some of the modifications currently in use on today's campuses. The remainder of the list is in the hearts and minds of intramural programmers past, present, and future. (7)

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- 1. Avedon, E. M. and Sutton-Smith, Brian, The Study of Games, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1971, 530 pp.
- 2. Bookwalter, K. W. and VanderZwaag, H. J., Foundations and Principles of Physical Education, W. B. Saunders Co., Philadelphia, 1969, 394 pp.
- 3. Dickinson, R.T. "Collegiate Intramural Athletics and Title IX,"

 Title IX: Moving Toward Implementation, Briefings 1,

 NCPEAN -NAPECW, 10-13, 1975.
- 4. Ellis, M. J., Why People Play, Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, 1973, 173 pp.
- 5. Means, L. E., The Organization and Administration of Intramural Sports, C. V. Mosby Co., 1949, 377 pp.
- 6. Nixon, J. E. and Jewett, A. E., <u>An Introduction to Physical Education</u>, 5th ed., W. B. Saunders Co., Philadelphia, 1971, 387 pp.
- 7. Smyth, J. P., A Descriptive Catalog of Rules Modifications in Competitive Intramural Activities, Unpublished doctor's thesis, School of Malth, Physical Education, and Recreation, Indiana University, 1971, 173 pp., typed.
- 8. Voltmer, E. F. and Esslinger, A. A., The Organization and Administration of Physical Education, Appleton-Century-Crofts, Inc., New York, 1938, 467 pp.
- 9. Williams, J. F., The Principles of Physical Edcuation, W. B. Saunders Co., Philadelphia, 1949, 377 pp.



ACTIVITY		MODIFICATIONS	COMMENTS	
1.	Archery	 distance, target size, points field - animal size 	1. Official Compe- titive Rounds may not need modification	
2.	Badminton	 Girls play doubleslines; boys, singles Girls play to 11; boys to for game 	l. Mixed doubles can bypass rules changes	
3.	Basketball	 Men - shots in lane = 1 point Male/female alternate goals Length of game and/or floor Reduce number of fouls Eliminate jump balls and free throws Ht./Wt. Classification - Napoleon/Josephine? 	1. Is basketball a contact sport?	
4.	Billiards	2. Require alternate shot making	 Regulation games may require little change Base handicap on balls made differential 60%. 	
5.	Bowling	1. Handicaps (larger percent- age differential for girls or base differential on single sex scores)		
6.	Boxing	1. See comments	1. Chauvanist Pig 2. A.M.ANo-No	
7.	Cross Country	 Shorter distance Head start Milder terrain Alternate male/female relay 	1. Liability for conditioning	
8.	Fencing	 Teams - male vs. female/ female vs. female Points handicapped Length of weapon/length of arm 	1. Procede after instruction 2. Safety equipment	
9.	Football 10	 No kickoffs - high risk play Stiff penalties Flags rather than touch Equal number of male/female players Reduce time/field size No blocking/no fumbles Stationary block All players eligible receivers More points female touchdown Weight class leagues 	official Nat- ional touch and flag foot- ball rules 2. Male & remale officials 3. Powder puff rules are available from	

ERIC AFULT Text Provided by ERIC

10.	Golf	 Championship or Masters Ladies Tee Handicap/Calloway Novelty tournaments Scotch/Best ball Combine scores 		See competi- tive golf events from Nat. Golf Foundation Use a Par 3 Course
11.	Gymnastics	 Team competition Scoring differential Standard Routines 	ı	Instruction Safety
12.	Handball (Paddleball; Racketball; Squash)	 Doubles . Tell-tale for male Point 15 for female, point 21 for male 	1.	l, 3 and 4 wall rules available
13.	Horseshoes	 Weight of shoe Distance Points per ringer Doubles 		
14.	Ice Hockey	 Equal number male/female More points female goal No body checking Male/female assist required Protective equipment required 	1.	Surprisingly, not big in the Southern District
15.	Sailing	 Head start Time handicap Pairs 		
16.	Soccer (Team Hand- ball)	 Equal number male/female Reduce time/field size Limit checking Point differential Assist from opposite sex Shorter penalty kick 	1.	University of Chicago SOCIM already modi- fied version
17.	Softball	1. Slow pitch 2. Equal number M/F (5/5) 3. Female Pitcher/male catcher 4. Alternate M/F batting order 5. Alternate M/F fielding order 6. Boys cannot bunt or steal 7. Boys bat from nondominate si 8. Reduce number of strikes 9. Reduce number of pitches	2. de	Lighter bats and balls are available See Softball Activities List No cleats or spikes
1.8.	Swimming 11	 Boys wear shirt or sweatsuit Swim tandem - hold ankles girl pulls - boy kicks Relays Reduced distance/headstart 	a.	Novelty events are furnished Feet first swim Sweatshirt relay
			c.	Ball pushing



1 9.	Tennis (Table Tennis	 Mixed doubles/team Girl - doubleslines: boy - singles Reduce number of points Serve restricted 	1.	No change with doubles
20.	Track and Field	1. Team 2. Relays 3. Distance/Time handicap 4. Lighter weights/field events	2.	Elementary & middle school may not need handicaps Warm up & down Dangerous . field events monitored
21.	Volleyball	 Equal number male/female All serves underhand Boys cannot spike Male and female must hit each point 	2.	Don't spot the Japanese women any points. Coed beach volleyball is nearly as common as sand 2 on 2, 3 on 3 increasing in popularity
22.	Weightlifting	 Team Weight classes Handicap differential 	1.	Is chauvanism dead?
23.	_	1. Team 2. Male vs. male 3. Female vs. female	1.	The road less traveled

UNIQUE ACTIVITIES

Aerial Darts Bicycle Racing Canoe Race Codeball Curling Darts. Decathlon Flickerball Holiday Field Meet Judo Lacross "Mr. Intramurals" Orienteering Paddle Tennis Pegboard Platform Paddle Tennis Pushball Racquet Tennis Rifle

Rodeo Rugby Shuffleboard Sigma Delta Psi Skiing Spaceball Speedball Surfing Tug of War

Wrist Wrestling Innertube Basketball Innertube Water Polo Water Basketball Water Polo

Water Volleyball

Basketball Free Throw

Basketball Golf Kings of the Court

One Day Basketball Tournament

One, Three, and Four Man Basketball Teams

Football Field Day Events

Knce-football One Day Tournament Golf Chipping Contest Golf Putting Contest Hole in One Contests

Miniature Golf Baseball Field Day Baseball Throw Home Run Derby Over the Line Softball Field Day Canoe Jousting

Guys and Dolls Basketball

Multitathlon Drag Racing

Faculty-Staff Golf Association

Coed Pentathlon Fishing Derby Basic Olympics "21" Basketball Broomstick Polo Kite Flying

Frisbee Century Club Team Handball Broomball

Crew Sky Diving Wheelchair IM Floor Hockey Float-A-Thon

Tubing

Pole(Caber) & Stone Throwing

Foosball Bumper Pool Fishing Rodeo Table Games

Sport Trivia Bowl